

A regular meeting of the Borough Council was held September 6, 2006, 7:30 p.m.

The following members and officers were present: President Dennis A. Hallman, Vice President L. James Roberts, Councilmembers Donald Rosenberger, Elfriede Werner, Daniel Williams, David Wilsey, David Zaiser, Solicitor Charles Fonzone, Manager David L. Woglom and Asst. Borough Secretary Phyllis R. Ewing.

RESOLVED, That, the Minutes of August 2, 2006, be approved as written. Motion of Councilman Roberts, seconded by Councilman Rosenberger. A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

RESOLVED, That, the financial reports be approved and vouchers drawn in payment of the bills listed thereon be ratified. Motion of Councilwoman Werner, seconded by Councilman Wilsey. A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

CHECKS #

53568 — 53844

\$3,050,028.52

RESOLVED, That, the Treasurer's Report for August 2006 be received and spread on the minutes. Motion of Councilman Roberts, seconded by Councilman Williams. A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

Treasurer's Report August 31, 2006				
Fund	Savings/Checking	PLGIT	CD	Total
Electric Fund	\$ 7,851.61	\$ 4,946.64	\$ 785,000.00	\$ 797,798.25
Water	136,64.82	4,566.91	250,000.00	391,209.73
Sewer	193,542.57	4,041.17	250,000.00	447,583.74
General	9,474.94	2,066.52		11,541.46
Payroll	200.00			200.00
Payroll Withholding	24,406.45			24,406.45
Debt Service Reserve		1,963.34		1,963.34
Fire Equipment		25,098.58		25,098.58
Recreation Fund	22,766.19			22,766.19
PA Motor License	153,290.90	590.98		153,881.88
Sinking Fund	17,182.01	3,659.11		20,841.12
1999-01 Equipment Replacement	166,882.68			166,882.68
<b>Totals</b>	<b>\$732,240.17</b>	<b>\$46,933.25</b>	<b>\$1,285,000.00</b>	<b>\$2,064,173.42</b>

RESOLVED, That, the Police Department, Zoning Administrator and Building Inspector reports be received and filed. Motion of Councilman Wilsey, seconded by Councilman Zaiser. A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

Visitors:

Jeff Stump, President of the Quakertown Fire Department, and Paul Valent, President of Quakertown Fire Company No. 1 presented a plaque and thanked Council for their assistance with the Quakertown Fire Company and the Bucks County Fireman's Association Parade. On behalf of Council, President Hallman thanked and noted appreciation for the men and women of the Fire Companies in town for their much needed service.

Councilman Hallman read the September 5<sup>th</sup> status of contract negotiations with non-uniform employees as reported by Joel Barras.

RESOLVED, That, reports on the union negotiations become a part of the Council minutes. Motion of Councilman Roberts, seconded by Councilman Rosenberger.

Under discussion, it was pointed out that the reports should indicate that they are from Joel Barras.

A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

The status of the union negotiations as reported by Joel Barras are as follows:

To: Quakertown Borough Council

From: Joel Barras

Date: August 28, 2006

Re: Status of Contract Negotiations with Non-Uniform Employees

As Borough Council is well aware, the Borough's non-uniform employees in its public works, water, electric and sewer department have decided to unionize and have elected the Operating Engineers Local 542-C as their exclusive bargaining representative. In accordance with its legal obligations, the Borough has commenced collectively bargaining with the Union over the employees' terms and conditions of employment.

At Borough Council's request, to ensure that Borough Council and the citizens of

Quakertown are kept apprised of the status of the Borough's negotiations with the Union, I will present a status report at every duly advertised, regularly scheduled Borough Council public meeting, until the parties execute an initial collective bargaining agreement. This account will serve as the first in that series of reports.

Since the Operating Engineers' election, the Borough and the Union have conducted two negotiating sessions. The tenor of the negotiations, while not openly hostile, has remained antagonistic. Although the parties have achieved limited progress in reaching a mutually acceptable labor agreement, there are still numerous hurdles to overcome on a number of substantive matters.

### **August 11, 2006 Negotiation Session**

The first negotiation session between the Borough and the Union occurred on Friday, August 11, 2006. The Borough was represented by its labor counsel and the Borough Manager. Representing the Union were Union organizer Frank Bankard, Union attorney Lou Agre and Borough employee Steve Brown. The session lasted approximately two hours, but in the opinion of the Borough's labor counsel, was unnecessarily prolonged by the Union. Given the Union's repeated comments to the Borough's representatives during this and its subsequent bargaining session, it is clear that one of the Union's bargaining tactics is, and will continue to be, to prolong bargaining in an effort to increase the amount of legal fees the Borough expends in negotiating the contract. The Borough anticipates the Union raising this issue either at Borough Council meetings or in the press in the foreseeable future.

The initial portion of this negotiating session focused on preliminary matters. We established two additional dates to continue bargaining: August 17, at 2:15 p.m., and August 31, at 10 a.m. The parties also agreed to alternate locations for bargaining between the Union Hall located in Fort Washington and Borough Hall. Next, the Union requested information regarding the Borough's healthcare costs, which the Borough Manager provided. The Union indicated that it could save the Borough money on healthcare costs, presumably by switching to the Union's health plan. Obviously, I will provide Council and the public with details when and if we receive them. Further, the Borough informed the Union of its decision not to waive the copying fee for requests for information. The Union indicated its dissatisfaction with this decision and threatened to file an unfair labor practice charge with the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board over the Borough's refusal to capitulate with regard to this matter. Finally, the Union requested that the Borough employees be paid by the Borough for their time spent negotiating on behalf of the Union. We informed the Union that we would consider this proposal and provide them with our response at the next session.

The next portion of our meeting consisted of the parties' review of some of the Union's non-economic contract proposals. As expected, the proposals are clearly Union-sided, consisting of Union dues collection and dues check off provisions, as well as requiring the Borough to shift much of its exercise of managerial prerogative to the Union. After ensuring that the Borough representatives fully understood the Union's proposals, the Borough indicated that it would provide its counter-proposals at the next session. This concluded the first negotiating session.

### **Unfair Labor Practice Charge Filed by the Union**

A few days after the Borough and the Union's initial meeting, the Borough Manager received a call from a Morning Call newspaper reporter informing him that the Union has filed a charge of unfair practices with the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board over the Borough's copying charge. Not surprisingly, the Union informed the press of its mailing of a charge without providing a courtesy copy to the Borough and prior to the PLRB receiving the charge. This ensured that the Union would be the only party speaking to the press regarding their allegations, as neither the PLRB nor the Borough will comment on charges it has not seen. After finally reviewing the charge on August 21, the Borough's labor counsel anticipates that within a few weeks, the PLRB will issue a letter to the Union declining to issue a complaint. Given the tenor of the negotiations up to this point, it appears likely that the Union will continue to file unfair labor practices charges, again in hopes of forcing the Borough to spend greater legal fees than would otherwise be necessary.

### **August 17, 2006 Negotiation Session.**

The Borough and the Union conducted their second negotiation session on August 17, 2006. This session lasted approximately four hours and was attended by the same representatives on both sides, with the addition of Robert Walsh, an Operating Engineers' Business Representative.

As with the first session, the initial portion of the meeting consisted of bargaining over preliminary matters. First, the Union offered a proposal to settle the request for information dispute. The proposal, which mirrored the Borough's initial offer, was to allow the Union to review requested information at Borough Hall during normal business hours. The Union would be permitted to copy any document with their equipment free of charge, and any copies duplicated by the Borough would cost \$0.25 per page. As the Borough had already offered this arrangement to the Union, it accepted the Union's proposal.

The Borough then informed the Union that it rejected its proposal to pay Borough employees to conduct Union business, including the time spent negotiating for an initial contract. The Borough further informed the Union of its position that the matter was not a

mandatory subject of bargaining, and that the Borough's decision was final. Given the Borough's position with respect to this matter, the Union proposed that all future bargaining sessions take place at Borough Hall. The Borough accepted this proposal.

After the initial matters were fully addressed, the Borough and the Union reviewed the Borough's counter-proposals, which the Borough had forwarded to the Union a day in advance of the session. After the Borough answered the Union's questions regarding the counter-proposals, the Borough and the Union bargained over several substantive contract provisions. The parties have agreed in principal on definitions for several key terms, language for a non-discrimination clause, and language for a Union Steward clause. In addition, the Union presented several proposals regarding a grievance procedure and bereavement leave, and the Borough indicated that it would provide a response at the next meeting. Conversely, the Borough provided proposals regarding seniority, and the Union similarly indicated that it would provide a response at the next session. Finally, the parties agreed to table negotiations on some of the more provocative matters until the less controversial issues are finalized.

The Borough and the Union are currently scheduled to conduct their next bargaining session on August 31, 2006, at Borough Hall.

To: Quakertown Borough Council

From: Joel Barras

Date: September 5, 2006

Re: Status of Contract Negotiations with Non-Uniform Employees

At our August 28 public meeting, I indicated that I would provide regular reports on the status of the on-going contract negotiations between the Borough and the Operating Engineers Local 542-C, which represents certain non-uniform Borough employees. This will constitute the second report in that series.

Preliminarily, I am pleased to report that, as expected, the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board has rejected the Union's unfair labor practices charge filed against the Borough. The Borough is in receipt of a letter from the PLRB to the Union declining to issue a complaint in the matter.

The Borough and the Union met for their third negotiating session on August 31, 2006, for approximately three and one-half hours. As with the initial two negotiation

sessions, the Borough was represented by its labor counsel, who conducted the negotiations on the Borough's behalf, and Borough Manager David Woglom, whose intimate knowledge of the day-to-day operations of the Borough served as an essential resource to our labor counsel. The Union was represented by Union organizer Frank Bankard, Union attorney Lou Agre, Union business representative Robert Walsh, and Borough employees Steve Brown, Steve Crotzer and Erik Gibbs.

I regret to inform Borough Council and the public that the parties failed to achieve any significant progress in their goal of agreeing upon a mutually acceptable labor agreement. As requested at the parties' August 17 negotiation session, the Borough presented the Union with several proposals memorializing the parties' earlier agreements. However, with the exception of a non-discrimination clause, either the Union rejected or the parties tabled all of these proposals.

At the outset of the session, the parties negotiated and agreed upon the language of a non-discrimination clause. However, the remainder of the session became mired over Union dues and just cause proposals. The Union evidenced their unwillingness to compromise on either matter, stating that "no self-respecting union would agree to a contract without both provisions." Furthermore, the Union repeatedly emphasized that it would bring forth "all legal pressure to bear" on the Borough to force it to capitulate to its proposals over these issues. When asked for its definition of "all legal pressure," the Union refused to provide a response. The Borough's labor counsel has opined that this attempted pressure will most likely include the Union presenting exaggerated, if not wholly outrageous, statements at Borough Council meetings, attempting to disrupt Borough Council meetings, attempting to bargain in the press instead of at the bargaining table, the filing of additional unfair labor practice charges, attempting to force the Borough to spend more than would otherwise be required to negotiate the contract and raising the Borough's legal fees to the press and public. Further, the Union has warned the Borough that if the Union is dissatisfied with the ultimately negotiated contract, it will file excessive grievances to monopolize the Borough management's time and force the Borough to expend substantial sums of money.

Given these anticipated tactics by the Union, Borough Council would like to use this opportunity to briefly present its position with regard to the union dues and just cause issues. First, with respect to union dues, the Union has offered no persuasive argument why the Borough must bear the expense and burden of collecting the Union's membership dues. Second, the Borough believes that its employees should be free to join or discontinue their membership in the Union at their pleasure; and again, the Union has offered no convincing explanation otherwise. Third, the Borough fundamentally disagrees with the Union's position that non-Union member employees should be forced to pay any dues, even at a reduced rate, to the Union.

With regard to agreeing not to discipline any employee without just cause and allowing the employees to appeal those decisions to an arbitrator, the Borough has several reasons for opposing these provisions. Initially, it should be noted that the Borough already offers disciplined employees the opportunity to appeal disciplinary decisions. Per Borough ordinance, any employee that believes he or she has been wrongly disciplined, may appeal those decisions to the Borough Manager and/or Borough Council. However, Borough Council opposes any contract provision that would require the Borough to justify to an arbitrator its decisions regarding discipline, which would, in the process, cost the Borough hundreds, if not thousands of dollars, per incident. However, the Borough has agreed to thoughtfully consider any Union proposals or arguments concerning either just cause or union dues.

### **PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE**

Councilman Wilsey indicated that there aren't any items for consideration under the Planning & Zoning Committee.

### **REVENUE & FINANCE COMMITTEE**

RESOLVED, That, Council grants the request of the West End Fire Company to waive the bandshell fee for their carnival on September 27 - 30. Motion of Councilman Roberts, seconded by Councilman Rosenberger. A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

RESOLVED, That, the following Resolution 42-06 establishing the Borough's opposition to proposed legislation on cable franchising be adopted:

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 42-06 RESOLUTION REGARDING CABLE FRANCHISING LEGISLATION**

WHEREAS, for decades, municipalities across America have had the legal right to enter into franchise agreements with cable operators; and

WHEREAS, the local franchising process has benefitted municipalities and consumers by ensuring that cable operators respond to local needs and interests; and

WHEREAS, these benefits include, but are not limited to, franchise fee revenue for use of the public rights-of-way; customer service standards; requirements that cable operators serve the entire community; public, educational and governmental ("PEG")

access channels; complimentary cable and internet services to community facilities; and municipal management of the public rights-of-way; and

WHEREAS, both the Pennsylvania Legislature and the United State Congress are considering legislation that would eliminate municipal franchising authority; and

WHEREAS, the legislation as drafted would significantly reduce franchise fee revenue by narrowing the definition of “gross revenues” subject to the franchise fee; eliminate local enforcement of customer service standards; repeal the current requirement that cable operators must serve the entire community; reduce PEG channel obligations, including local control and PEG support; abolish the granting of complimentary services; and limit local authority over the public rights-of-way; and

WHEREAS, such legislation would radically alter the regulatory framework for cable operators that has been in place for decades and would remove local needs and interests from the franchising process; and

WHEREAS, municipalities welcome competition in the cable industry and stand ready to negotiate franchise agreements with new cable operators in a timely fashion.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Borough Council of the Borough of Quakertown hereby opposes both state and national franchising legislation currently being considered by the Pennsylvania State Legislature and the United States Congress; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Borough Council of the Borough of Quakertown will send this resolution to all Pennsylvania State Representatives, all Pennsylvania State Senators, the Pennsylvania Congressional delegation, and the two U.S. Senators from Pennsylvania.

Motion of Councilman Roberts, seconded by Councilman Rosenberger. A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

RESOLVED, That, the following Resolution 43-06 concerning the billing rates for the Police Department be adopted:

#### RESOLUTION # 43-06

WHEREAS, the Borough of Quakertown provides services and/or repairs to needed public services; and



WHEREAS, Borough Council wishes to establish proper billing unit-prices for the services;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Borough Council of the Borough of Quakertown, That, the following unit prices will be used for billing purposes:

POLICE DEPARTMENT

<u>Employee</u>	<u>Regular</u>	<u>Overtime</u>
Scott McElree, Chief	\$47.40	\$ 71.10
Donald Bender, Sergeant	43.49	67.44
Timothy Gaumer, Sergeant	43.49	68.28
Edward Dex, Corporal	41.09	63.86
Scott Fogel, Corporal	41.09	62.92
Jeffrey Boehm	38.70	59.92
Mario Cabrera	36.30	54.91
Harold Gross	38.70	59.22
Robert J. Lee	32.71	50.84
Bryan J. Lockwood	33.90	50.84
Joshua Mallery	38.70	58.75
Matthew Molchan	38.70	59.10
William Newman	38.70	58.63
Steven J. Stoneback	33.90	50.84
Mark Watkins	38.70	59.92

Motion of Councilman Roberts, seconded by Councilman Rosenberger.

Councilman Rosenberger questioned the differences in overtime that didn't match up with the regular hours. It was pointed out that the overtime includes longevity.

A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

RESOLVED, That, Council authorizes the Borough Manager to fill an employee opening in the Administration Department. Motion of Councilman Roberts, seconded by Councilwoman Werner. A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

Councilman Roberts announced that the Minimum Municipal Obligation for 2007 for the Police Pension Fund is \$246,697 and for the Non-Uniformed Pension Plan is \$370,635.

## **HEALTH, SAFETY & WELFARE COMMITTEE**

RESOLVED, That, Council grants the request of Quakertown Alive! to close the downtown triangle on October 7 for their Autumn Alive Festival. Motion of Councilwoman Werner, seconded by Councilman Roberts. A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

President Hallman stated that there will be one of the new voting machines at the Quakertown Alive! Autumn Alive Festival for people to come out, try it and see how it works.

RESOLVED, That, Council grants the request of the residents of North Penrose Street to close their street on September 16 for a block party. Motion of Councilwoman Werner, seconded by Councilman Williams. A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

RESOLVED, That, Council grant the request of James Purcell, 27 Braithwaite Lane to expand an existing curb cut in accordance with the drawing submitted. Motion of Councilwoman Werner, seconded by Councilman Roberts.

Councilman Rosenberger asked if they will be using stone instead of concrete or macadam? Manager Woglom indicated that they will use stone and are aware of the impervious surface requirement.

A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

Councilwoman Werner read Ordinance 1124 creating a four-way stop at the intersection of Mill and Fourth Streets in full.

RESOLVED, That, Ordinance 1124, an amendment to the Code of Ordinances of the Borough of Quakertown, Chapter 15, Section 211, adding a stop sign regulation on Mill Street eastbound and westbound at Fourth Street, be passed finally. Motion of Councilwoman Werner, seconded by Councilman Williams. A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

RESOLVED, That, Council authorizes the Borough Manager to utilize a donation of \$500 to purchase a computer in the Police Department at the same cost and to convert a donated ambulance into a special operations vehicle at an approximate cost of \$2,000 in accordance with the Police Chief's memo of August 17, 2006. Motion of Councilwoman Werner, seconded by Councilman Zaiser. A roll call vote was taken and the motion was

unanimously carried.

RESOLVED, That, Council grant the request of the Quakertown Fire Department to conduct elections in the skating pond shelter on October 3 and 10, 2006. Motion of Councilwoman Werner, seconded by Councilman Williams. A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

Councilman Rosenberger stated that the people at the Grundy House are having difficulties getting out due to a large recreation vehicle with flat tires. Chief McElree indicated that he has spoken to the owner of the vehicle and it will be removed in approximately 1-1/2 weeks.

### **PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMITTEE**

RESOLVED, That, Council grant the request of Mama Mia's Too Restaurant to install a banner across Broad Street. Motion of Councilman Rosenberger, seconded by Councilman Roberts. A roll call vote was taken and the motion was defeated by majority vote with the following Councilmembers voting against: Roberts, Rosenberger, Werner, Williams, Wilsey and Hallman; and the following Councilmember voting in favor: Zaiser.

RESOLVED, That, the following Resolution 44-06 concerning a request from Upper Saucon Township to purchase pipe from the Borough be adopted:

#### Resolution 44-06

WHEREAS, the Upper Saucon Township Municipal Authority (USTMA) has expressed interest to the Borough to purchase excess slipline piping owned by the Borough; and

WHEREAS, USTMA is willing to pay the market price of \$3.90 per foot for 1,200 lineal feet of this slipline pipe; and

WHEREAS, the Pennsylvania Borough Code permits the Borough to sell personal property to a municipality or authority directly; and

WHEREAS, the Borough is willing to assist USTMA in their need for quick acquisition of this material in order to meet an immediate need for USTMA.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by Borough Council of the Borough of Quakertown to sell 1,200 lineal feet of slipline pipe to the Upper Saucon Township Municipal Authority at a price of \$3.90 per foot. The Borough Manager is

authorized to complete the sale.

Motion of Councilman Rosenberger, seconded by Councilman Roberts.

Councilman Wilsey asked if all laws have been complied with in regard to this motion. Councilman Rosenberger indicated that it was discussed at the Work Session and the understanding is that one municipality can sell to another municipality without bids and Solicitor Fonzone agreed.

A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

RESOLVED, That Council grant the request of T-Mobile to amend the existing tower agreement. Motion of Councilman Rosenberger, seconded by Councilman Roberts.

Under discussion, Councilman Rosenberger stated that T-Mobile feels that they can condense the number of their cell phone towers and that under their contract with the Borough, they have the option to opt-out with a one-time payment of \$7,800. However, they are offering to extend the contract for a 5 year period with payments to the Borough equaling \$48,000 and also with the right to renewal for up to three additional 5-year periods.

Councilman Rosenberger questioned Schedule 1 of the Contract, Expansion of Use, whereby it indicates their ability to increase the number of antennas thereon or relocate the communications facility within the premises at any time during the term or any renewal term of the lease? Manager Woglom indicated that the number of antennas on top of the tower would not be a concern and if premises does include the land, it won't do any good for them to put antennas on the ground.

Councilman Roberts pointed out the last sentence in Schedule 1 of the Contract – “If Landlord does not comply with the terms of this section, Tenant may exercise all of its rights and remedies under law or equity including without limitation, to terminate this Lease and upon such termination, shall have no further liability to Landlord.” Councilman Roberts asked if they have any other rights besides opting out of the contract and abandoning the property? President Hallman questioned the concern about this paragraph, the antennas are on top of the tower and obviously they cannot put something up that would prohibit our getting into our tower or the water tank. Councilman Rosenberger stated that in accordance with the first paragraph of the Recitals, he believes that the premises would be the water tower only. Solicitor Fonzone indicated that the original lease has a picture of the premises, it's the tower; and it also has a legal description of the premises.

Councilman Wilsey stated that he feels that the space on the tower will become more

and more valuable. He also stated that he is bothered by the fact that they want the rent lowered and they could put up more antennas.

A roll call vote was taken and the motion carried by majority vote with the following Councilmembers voting in favor: Rosenberger, Werner, Zaiser and Hallman; and the following Councilmembers voting against: Roberts, Williams and Wilsey.

President Hallman indicated he voted in favor of the motion because he felt it would not be a good business decision to take \$7,800 against \$48,000.

RESOLVED, That, Council grants the request of Heavener Supply to use the swimming pool grounds for a lighting demonstration. Motion of Councilman Rosenberger, seconded by Councilman Zaiser.

Councilman Hallman asked if this included free lights with the free installation? Manager Woglom indicated that it does.

A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

### **PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE**

RESOLVED, That, Council grants the request of the First United Church of Christ to provide diagnostic storm water assistance in accordance with the Borough's billing rates. Motion of Councilman Zaiser, seconded by Councilman Roberts. A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

Councilman Hallman commented on how quickly Broad Street was completed and questioned the hole that is in front of Alderfer's. It was stated that the State is repaving that portion of Broad Street from Belmont to the Sewer Plant.

### **PARKS & RECREATION COMMITTEE**

RESOLVED, That, Council grants the request of the Quakertown Community School District to use Memorial Park on September 27 for a cross-country meet. Motion of Councilman Williams, seconded by Councilman Wilsey. A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

RESOLVED, That, Council grants the request of St. Luke's Quakertown Hospital to use the swimming pool parking lot during the week of September 25 - October 2 during the Fire Company Carnival. Motion of Councilman Williams, seconded by Councilman Roberts. A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

RESOLVED, That, Council grants the request to conduct a Motorcycle Stunt Bike Show in Memorial Park on October 15. Motion of Councilman Williams, seconded by Councilman Zaiser. A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

RESOLVED, That, Council grants the request of the East Swamp Church to use Cedar Grove Park on a regular basis. Motion of Councilman Williams, seconded by Councilman Roberts. A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

RESOLVED, That, Council grants the request of Harvest Community Fellowship to use Memorial Park for an event on September 30. Motion of Councilman Williams, seconded by Councilman Roberts.

Councilman Williams indicated that there will be tethered balloon rides. Councilman Rosenberger asked how high the tethered balloon will be? Councilman Wilsey indicated that they are typically 50 feet. Manager Woglom indicated that insurance certificates will be gotten from both the Church and the balloonist.

A roll call vote was taken and the motion was unanimously carried.

Councilman Rosenberger told Council that he has a proposal for the future use of the Krupp site and distributed a memo explaining his proposal to Council. Councilman Rosenberger stated that in his proposal he has developed the framework for a discussion at the September Work Session. It was indicated that it would be helpful for Carter VanDyke to attend the meeting. President Hallman indicated that it will be discussed at the next Work Session.

President Hallman related an incident he had observed between a Borough Police Officer and a car theft suspect. He felt that the officer handled the incident extremely well and put no one in any danger.

Manager Woglom stated that a Household Hazardous Waste Disposal will be held at the swimming pool parking lot on Saturday, September 30.

President Hallman declared the meeting adjourned at 8:50 p.m.

BOROUGH OF QUAKERTOWN

---

Dennis A. Hallman  
President of Council

Attest:

---

Phyllis R. Ewing  
Asst. Borough Secretary